~~Destroy~~ preserve Pages 1-18

App I IX 1

Previous References

1 104-35 (118)

193 ( )

Letter References

File No 104-35

Serial Nos 11, 12, 13
15, 16

16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for January 1936

SUBJECT

Re Laying of Street Sewers between Bakepet and Railway Station Roads, Bangalore City and entrusting the work to two Contractors

5482-5 of 25.1.1936
from Dir of Health,
the Municipal Comr, the Chief Engr
& the Comptroller by one
Draws that the work
- mentioned equally to the
two Contractors.

WD 0.607—GPB—22 30-8-35

Ch
11 2

RAO BAHADUR
B K CARUDACHAR
H PRESIDENT

CITY MUNICIPALITY

General and F
Secretari

Bangalore dated..... 193

2754/L.

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
14 DEC 1935
NO 4652

A ×

In Lgo No L 2951 4/ ME 104 35-8 dated 24-10-35 two estimates for Rs 24,000 and Rs 76 680 for laying sub-mains and street sewers respectively in the area between Balepet and Railway Station Road were sanctioned

A copy of the Resident's ~~letter~~ Superior may ~~forthwith~~ be Communicated ~~forwarded~~ to the Director of Health for remarks. Draft submitted for approval or other orders.

NOTE.—Contd Sheet

14 DEC 1935

WD 6414—GPB—20 000—13-7-35

3) The D.H. may be requested to expedite further action

2nd number)

5) Issue Daybook 6) Bill

Laying of sewers between Balepet and Railway Station Road, Bangalore city

✓ 2759 ✓2937

Ml File No 104 of 1935

General and Revenue Secretariat

To

The Director of Health 12

No L 4677 dated 18-12-35

Requests ~~Submits~~ that early action may be taken for taking up the execution of the work for Rs 76 680.

Sup B 18/12 MV

Letter No C 347-26 dated 21-12-35 from the Director of Health stating that the Sanitary Engineer has been requested to obtain solvency certificate and other information in respect of the contractor Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co 13

1) Submitted for perusal

RMM 4/1/36

2) Chief Secty) MV 4/1/36

Letter No C 347-31 dated 4-1-1936 from the Director of Health stating that the Sanitary Engineer has suggested that the estimate for Rs 76 680 be divided between the two lowest tenderers viz Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co and Messrs Gannon Dunkerley and recommending that, for reasons given, ~~that~~ the Contract may be given to the lowest tenderer Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co instead of dividing the Contract between the two tenderers as proposed by the ~~Exec~~ Sanitary Engineer 15

3) The Sanitary Engineer states that the work may be ~~splashproof water stone~~ pasta divided between

Laying of Street Sewers between Balepet-I Fly Station Road, Bangalore city (9237)

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mr File No 104 of 1935 | [App F III—2

Serial No

the two tenderers as the work has to be executed as quickly as possible, being in the heart of the town The reasons for not accepting this proposal are (1) that the tender notice did not mention the fact that the work will be divided and (2) that in preparing the estimate, arrangements have been made only for supervising the work of one Contractor Submitted for orders In these circumstances the Director recommends that the contract be given only to the lowest tenderer Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co

Submitted for orders

6/1/36

9) Chief Secretary

10) 2nd Member

6/1/36

11) May be referred officially to the Chief Engineer for favour of opinion

9/1/36

9-1-36

12) Forwarded with compliments and thanks to the Chief Engineer for favour of opinion

By order
Asstt Secretary
For Chief Secretary

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
6 JAN 1936
No 5193

C O 98 D 9 1 36

W O Fr 331
11 1 36

NOTE.—Contn Sheet

WD 03 94—GPB—30 000—18 7 35

Drainage for Avlepet & Akkapet &c

3076 √3259

Mr File No 104 of 1935

General and Revenue Secretariat

W O No 348 Dy 16 / 36

Returned with compliments

13) 2 In the case of such large works it is not unusual to split up the work and entrust the same to different contractors As it is stated that the work has to be executed expeditiously, there is no objection to two contractors being entrusted with the work at the lowest tendered rates

3 As regards the supervising agency, there will not be any necessity to appoint double the sanctioned strength of staff if two contractors are employed, as the work to be carried out lies in a limited area and can easily be supervised by the sanctioned staff alone

Chief Secretary)

16/1/36
Chief Engineer of Mysore

14) If approved, orders will be issued as above

17-1-36

2nd Secretary

Dewan)

17/1/36

20-1-36

Please submit draft

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
17 JAN 1936
No 5463

SECRETARIAT
17 JAN

Log Ar

The Petn rcd from the Agent of Messrs Ganon Dunkerley &Co on the subject might be placed in the file before submn

17/1

Attended to (Log 4)

17/1

DEWAN'S OFFICE
20 JAN 1936
No 4529

Drainage for Akkipet Avenue etc
3259
L

5

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mg File No 104 of 1935 | [App F III—2

Serial No	
	Draft- g o submitted for Approval consideration Rmr 23/1/36 (Chapters) Asst 28/1 Rmr 23-1-36 Secretary Asst 28/1
16	Dr of Health Mg Commr, Bangalore city Chief Engineer Comptroller Report Dr L 54825 dy 25-1-36 Directs that the work may be awarded among the two lowest tenderers ✓

B
16/2/36
MM

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 03594—GPB—30 000—18 7 35

File No of 193

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

6

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 18/12 A^x

Signed by M V A S Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Ml

File No 104-35

Serial No 12

No L 4677

To The Director of Health in Mysore

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to G O No Ir 2951-4/ Ml 104-35-8 dated 24-10-35 sanctioning two estimates for Rs 24 000 and Rs 76 680 for laying submain and street sewers respectively in the area between Balepet and Railway Station Road, Bangalore city, I am directed ~~to forward herewith for favour of your remarks Copy of letter~~ to state that ~~dated 12-12-35 from~~ the President, Bangalore city Municipal Council ~~suggesting~~ that the estimate for Rs 76,000/- for the work may be divided into two

states that the second part of the work has not yet been entrusted to any one except laying a month house and each

WD 03601—GPB—30 000—23 9 35

parts and entrusted to
[illegible] contractor with a view
to complete the work before
the end of the current official
year. Your views in this respect
for early reply is requested

Yours
14/12

Yours
14/12

Extra

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 17th Decr. *1935*

No L 4677
ML 104-35-2

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Director of Health in Mysore,

Bangalore

Sir

With reference to Government Order No L 2951-4/Ml 104-35-8 dated 24th October 1935 sanctioning two estimates for Rs 24,000/- and Rs 76,000/- for laying submain and street sewers respectively in the area between Balepet and Railway Station Road, Bangalore City I am directed to state that the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council, states that the second part of the work has not yet been entrusted to any one though tenders were received a month back I am to request that early action may be taken in the matter

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Secretary to Government

B K M 2

Mysore Mil 104-35-13 2937

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

P PARTHASARATHY M S S URGENT GRACE VILLE PALACE ROAD
R C & M H
D tor f H lth

Bangalore (S Inara) Dated December 20, 1935

Teleph N 340

Teleg m HEALTH

No S C347-26

Encl

FROM

P PARTHASARATHY, Esq LMS BSS LRCP & S DTM DPH
Director of Health with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

CHIEF SEC 23 DEC 1935

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Bangalore

D No 951 B 0435,
Dno 2759

SIR Ref - o L 4677/Mil 104-35-12 dated 18th December 1935 re estimates for Rs 24,000/- and Rs 76,000/- for laying submain and street severs respectively in the area between Balepet and Railway Station Road, Bangalore City

I have the honour to inform that the Sanitary Engineer has been addressed to obtain solvency certificate in respect of new contractor Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co , and also information as to whether the contractor has taken up contract works in other Government Departments.

I have thehonour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
P Parthasarathy
Director of Health

LAC/20-12

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

3076/L 9

Mr Rama 9nl 104 35-15

P PARTHASARATHY LMS BSS
LRCP &S DPH DTM
Director of Health

Telephone No 340
Telegram HEALTH

Urgent and confidential

No C 347-30 &4
Encls 13

GRACE VILLE PALACE ROAD

Bangalore (S India) dated January 3, 1935

Dr Pm 4/1/35

FROM

P PARTHASARATHY LMS BSS LRCP &S DPH DTM
Director of Health with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

To

A V Ramanathan, Esq, B A,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

SIR

I have the honour to submit herewith the tenders for laying street sewers of No 1 sub main sewer, Bangalore City with a copy of letter received from the Sanitary Engineer The Sanitary Engineer has recommended that the contract may be divided and given to two contractors Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co, who is the lowest tenderer and also to Messrs Gannon Dunkerley who has tendered Rs 1,623/- more than the lowest tender The reason given by the Sanitary Engineer for dividing the work between the two tenderers is that the work has to be done in the heart of the town and consequently has to be done as quickly as possible, When the tenders are called for the tenderers expect that the lowest tenderer will be given the contract though it is not necessary that the lowest tender should be accepted nor reason should be given for not accepting the lowest tender But there must be sufficient reason for not accepting the lowest tender from the point of view of Government The reason that the work has to be executed in the heart of the town should have been considered when the tender notice was issued and the time accordingly noted down, ~~but~~ when the time is notified in the tender notice it means all the conditions have been considered There are other conditions which might necessitate refusing the lowest tender They are -

1 The tenderer may not be solvent enough to carry on the work

2 He may not have the organisation to carry on the work

or 3 He may not have undertaken any of the works on a large scale in any Government Departments

In the present case, the solvency certificate of the lowest tenderer has been obtained by the Sanitary Engineer in which the District Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, states that the party is solvent to the extent of Rs 75,000/- The contractor has produced certificates from the places where he has executed works both in the Palace and Civil and Military Station Bangalore The Executive Engineer, Civil and Military Station, in his certificate says that the Company have carried out large drainage works to his entire satisfaction

Further, in preparing the estimate, arrangements for supervising the work of one contractor has been made but if two contractors are appointed two separate supervising proper agencies have to be appointed as otherwise smaller men as Maistries instead of Overseers or Sub Overseers will have to be posted to look after execution of costly schemes, though the Assistant Engineer may do check inspections when he is in town as the latter officer has to move about the whole State on account of multifarious duties

Under the circumstances, I am of opinion that the contract may be given to the lowest tenderer Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co , instead of dividing the contract between the two tenderers One solvency certificate and five true copies of certificates from the Company are herewith submitted

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Health

KAJ/3-1

Enclosures
Copy of Sany Engr's letter No 316 dated 26 11 35
One solvency certificate
5 true copies of certificates from the Company
5 tenders
1 Comparative Statement

Letter No 316 dated November 26, 1935 from the Sanitary Engineer, Bureau of Sanitary Engineering, Bangalore, to the Director of Health in Mysore, Bangalore

---

Laying street sewers of No 1 Sub main sewer of Bangalore City

---

I have the honour to state that an estimate for Rs 76,680/- for the above work was sanctioned in Government Order No L 2951-4/ M1 104-35-8 dated 22/25th October 1935 and tenders were called for for the work by publication of a Notification in the official gazette and in response thereto, the marginally noted five tenders were received

1	Messrs	Armugam Nagarathnam & Co ,	As seen from the comparative statement appended, the tender of
2	-do-	M Coomaraswamy Pillay & Co ,	
3	-do-	Gannon Dunkerly & Co ,	
4	-do-	H B Sreekantiah & R Chandrasekharaiah	
5	-do-	Muniswamappa	

Messrs Armugam Nagarathnam & Co , is the lowest, being Rs 5,638/- less than the comparable portion of the estimate The next tender is that of Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co , which is only Rs 1,623/- more than the lowest tender or Rs 4,015/- less than the comparable portion of the estimate All the other three tenders are far in excess of the estimate (comparable portion)

As this work has to be executed in the heart of the town and it has consequently to be done as quick as possible, it is proposed that the work may be divided between the two tenderers Messrs Nagaratnam & Co , and Messrs Gannon Dunkerly & Co , at the rates of the lowest tenderer The latter form is willing to do work at the lowest tender rates

I request that early orders may kindly be obtained and intimated

The comparative statement with the five tenders in original is herewith submitted

---

" C O P Y "

O Ramaswamy

KAJ/3-1 Director of Health

Mr Rau

12

To

SECOND M... COUNCIL
13 JAN 1936
Ao

N Madhava Rao Esqr B A , B L
Second Member in Council
Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE

GLN 3259/L-
16 JAN

Respected Sir,

Re Drainage Tender for Arlepet and Akkipet area

I the undersigned local representative of M/s Gannon Dunkerly & Co Ltd beg to place before your honour a few facts for consideration in disposing of the tenders for the above drainage works

For the last 3 years I have been continuously engaged in the construction of underground drainage works in some portion of the City or other and notably I am now carrying the most difficult portion of the Main Sewerage scheme allotted to my firm in the disposal of the last tenders In this connection I beg to state that though I was the lowest tenderer by about Rs 8000/- from the next higher one still the department divided the tenders between us two, and unfortunately it fell to my firm's lot to excute the more difficult portion which I am now carrying on at very great difficulty and expense incurring heavy loss and possibly some remarks from my employers on this score I expected to make good such losses by carrying out futther wokks of a less difficult nature and therefore quoted my competetive rates for the drainage works of Arlepet and Akkipet now awaiting disposal at your Honours hands Unfortunately in this tender my firm stands a little higher viz about

about Rs 1200/- from the lowest tenderer in a work of about Rs 60 000/- I now beg to approach your Honour to be pleased to appreciate that the above difference is quite inappreciable and may not be allowed to stand in the way ~~my~~ of my firm securing the work not only because of previous claims for satisfactory service but also on account of the assured prospect of continuous employment it affords for many a Mysorean among whom there are many Engineering Graduates

I would further state that if for any reason you find it not possible to entrust the work at the rates quoted by me I am willing to take up the same at the rates quoted by the lowest tenderer

Having given very good satisfaction to the department ~~xxx~~ through-out these three years I can assure you that we will execute this work in as short a period as the department stipulates if entrusted to us

Hoping my representation will receive favourable consideration at your Honours hands

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir
Your obedient Servant
Sd/- Ramaswamy
Local Representative of M/S Gannon Dunlerly & Co Lt

Bangalore
Dated 13-1-1936

The main file on the subject has been referred unofficially to the Chief Engineer If approved, this may also be sent in continuation-

17/1/36 17/1

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

14

Diary No of letter replied to

Section ML

File No 104-35

Serial No 16

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of Hrs Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 25/11 1936

Signed by

Read— G O No L 2951-4/ML 104-35 8 dated 24-10-35 sanctioning two estimates for Rs 24,000 and Rs 76680 for laying submain and street sewers respectively in the area between Balepet and Railway Station Road, Bangalore city

Order thereon—No 2) ~~Correspondence ending with~~ Letter No C 347-31 dated 4-1-36 from the Director of Health, stating that in regard to the work of laying street sewers estimated to cost Rs 76 680, tenders received for the ~~Tenders were called for and that~~ the Sanitary Engineer has suggested that, ~~time~~ Contract ~~for the work~~ may

as the work has to be got done quickly, the

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

be divided and given to two contractors
Messrs Arumugam Nagarathnam & Co,
who is the lowest tenderer, and Messrs
who is the next lowest tenderer
Ignanon Dunkerly, ~~who is the next lowest tenderer~~
~~appear to be the lowest, for the reason~~
~~that the work has to be done as~~
~~quickly as possible having in view~~
~~the nearness of the rains~~ and recommending
whole
that, for reasons given the contract
may be given only to the lowest
tenderer viz Messrs Arumugam Nagarathnam
& Co instead of splitting it into two -

3) Note No 348 dated 16-1-36 from
the Chief Engineer on the subject
cited No L 57482-85 Dy 2571/36

List of Enclosure

The reasons given by the Dr of Health
for not dividing the contract are the following

(1) That the tender notice did not
mention the fact that the work would be divided, and

(2) That in preparing the estimate, *Copied by*
arrangements have been made only for *Examined by*
supervising the works of only one contractor

G P S App F G 3

GENFRAL AND REVENUE SECPETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

In case of

2) Govt consider that in such large works it is not unusual to split up the work and entrust the same to different contractors As the work has to be executed expeditiously Govt are pleased to direct that the work be entrusted ~~works~~ to the two Contractors referred to above at the lowest tendered rates as suggested by the Sanitary Engineer

3) As regards the supervising Govt observe that ~~agency~~ / there will not be any necessity to appoint ~~the~~ double the sanctioned strength of staff if two contractors are employed, as the work to be carried out lies in a limited area and can easily be supervised by the sanctioned staff alone

Bye 23-1-36

Memo 23/1 Chief Secy to Govt Remitted

WD 03603—GPB—15 000—8-7 35

Memo Dr of Health with records
~~Bombay city Municipal Engineer~~
Mr Comm, Bangalore city Municipal President
Chief Engineer
Comptroller

Extra Ab 17

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read —

Government Order No L 2951-4/Ml 104-35-8 dated 24th October 1935 sanctioning two estimates for Rs 24,000 and Rs 76,680 for laying submain and street sewers respectively in the area between Balepet and Railway Station Road, Bangalore City

2 Letter No C 347-31 dated 4th January 1936 from the Director of Health, stating that in regard to the tenders received for the work of laying street sewers estimated to cost Rs 76,680 the Sanitary Engineer has suggested that as the work has to be got done quickly, the contract may be divided and given to two contractors Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co , who is the lowest tenderer and Messrs Cannon Lunkerley who is the next lowest tenderer and recommending that, for reasons given, the whole contract may be given only to the lowest tenderer viz , Messrs Arumugam Nagaratnam & Co , instead of splitting it into two

3. Note No 348 dated 15th January 1936 from the Chief Engineer on the subject

Order No L 5482-85/ Ml 104-35-16

Dated, Bangalore, 25th January 1936

The reasons given by the Director of Health for not dividing the contract are the following -

(i) That the tender notice did not mention the fact that the work would be divided, and

(ii) that in preparing the estimate, arrangements have been made for supervising the work of only one contractor

2 Government consider that in the case of such large works it is not unusual to split up the work and entrust the same to different contractors As the work has to be executed expeditiously, Government are pleased to direct that the work be entrusted to the two contractors referred to above at the lowest tenders

18

r t s as r_ested by t_e Sanitary Engineer

3 As re_ards the supervisin_ agency, Government observe that there will not be any necessity to appoint ~~the~~ double the sanctioned strength of staff of two contractors are employed, as the work to be carried out lies in a limited area and can easily be supervised by the sanctioned staff alone

Asuvaraja
For Chief Secretary to Government

To

The Director of Health with records,

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City through the President,

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

The Comptroller to Government.